



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Procedia Chemistry 15 (2015) 320 - 325

16th International Scientific Conference "Chemistry and Chemical Engineering in XXI century" dedicated to Professor L.P. Kulyov, CCE 2015

Modeling of condensation reaction of aniline to diphenylamine by PM7 method

V.V.Bochkarev^{a,*}, L.S.Soroka^a, T.A.Klimova^a, L.E.Velikorechina^a

^aNational Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenina av., Tomsk, 634050, Russia

Abstract

Modeling of the condensation reaction to diphenylamine was carried out by PM7 method with acid catalysts: tetrafluoroborate, oxytrifluoroborate and anilinium oxytetratrifluoroborate. The calculated data prove that the formation of a few protonated forms of aniline is possible during the reaction of aniline with acids. Only positively charged p- and o- σ -complexes are capable of further interaction with aniline. The stage of intramolecular proton transfer from the primary to the secondary amino groups of intermediates of aniline reaction with proton aniline σ -complex determines the condensation rate of aniline to diphenylamine with acid catalysts. A catalyst anion can form ionic and ion-dipole complexes with reaction mixture components and can influence the distribution of electron density in reactants and their reactivity with its field.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of Tomsk Polytechnic University

Keywords: aniline, diphenylamine, condensation reaction, mechanism, modeling, PM7 method.

1. Introduction

Currently, condensation reaction of aniline catalyzed by acid is the main method for industrial production of diphenylamine (DPhA)¹. The most widely catalysts used are mineral acids, silica-alumina catalysts, catalysts containing both fluorine and boron, fluorine and phosphorus, alumina modified by hydrochloric, boric, phosphoric acids and boron trifluoride². There are a numerous amount of patents of DPhA obtaining from aniline. Unfortunately, the scientific literature has almost no information about the mechanism of the processes and the role of acid catalysts in a reaction of aromatic nucleophilic substitution.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +7-382-260-6120. *E-mail address:* walery_w_b@mail.ru

Seeboth H.³, Basu J. K. and colleagues ⁴ have supposed that active OH groups are proton carriers at the surface of a heterogeneous catalyst (γ -Al₂O₃, activated by mineral acids and/or boron trifluoride). Interaction of surface OH-groups of catalysts with the amino group of aniline leads to the ammonium ion formation. In this case, C-N-bond becomes weaker, releasing the ammonia molecule with formation of a phenyl cation and catalyst anion.

The ion of diphenyl ammonia is formed due to nucleophilic reaction of phenyl cation with aniline. Then it is converted to DPhA giving a proton to the catalyst anion. This mechanism involves strong participation of catalyst for loosen of C-N-bond, as energy of C-N-bond breakage for anilinium cation is very high (E_{C-N} = 377 kJ/mol). Upon the research of literary and experimental data, the authors of the article ² have supposed that the reaction of aniline condensation to DPhA can proceed through formation of p- σ -complex intermediate.



Scheme 1. Mechanism of condensation reaction of aniline to DPhA

A proton can be transferred by aniline, catalyst or a solvent molecule. The energy barriers of the stages specifying the reaction rate may change depending on the nature of the substance that transfer a proton. Numerous experimental data indicate that the nature of the acid catalyst has a significant effect on the rate and selectivity of the condensation process.

Catalysts containing boron trifluoride are widely used in industrial production. These catalysts are used in liquidphase processes, e.g. ammonium oxotetratrifluoroborate $(NH_4)_2O(BF_3)_4^5$, anilinium oxytrifluoroborate $C_6H_5NH_3[HOBF_3]^6$, fluoroborates of variable composition ^{7, 8}. They are also used in gas-phase processes, e.g. the modified zeolites by boron trifluoride ⁹.

Quantum-chemical methods of modeling are often used to study and optimize the technology of modern chemical processes.¹⁰ This study presents modeling of the condensation reaction of aniline to DPhA with boron trifluoride, anilinium oxytrifluoroborate, anilinium oxotetratrifluoroborate to reveal controlling step of the process and influence of the catalyst on the condensation process by PM7 method.

2. Computational Methods

Quantum-chemical calculations were carried out using the following software: ChemBio3D Ultra V. 12.0.2.1076¹¹ and MOPAC2012¹². Geometrical parameters (i.e. bond length, angles of valence and torsion angles) that determine the position of atoms in relation to one another and to the reaction center were improved according to the standard procedure. The optimization of geometrical parameters of transition states was carried out according to the standard TS-procedure¹².

We performed experimental calculations using various methods with the following software: MOPAC2012 and ChemBio3D Ultra to choose a method of calculation.

We used DPhA as a test compound. The calculated values of thermodynamic functions are in Table 1.

| | | 1 | 5 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Thermodynamic functions | MNDO | PM6 | PM7 | HF 3-21G | DFT B3LYP 3-21G | Experimental data ¹³ |
| $S^{\circ}_{298}(g), J/(mol \cdot K)$ | 432.64 | 434.56 | 430.84 | 407.73 | 415.61 | 407.94 |

Table 1. Calculated and experimental thermodynamic data of DPhA

| $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}^{\circ}(g), kJ/mol$ | 232.60 | 205.79 | 210.43 | 589.90 | 554.50 | 201.67 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\Delta G_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}(g), kJ/mol$ | 366.60 | 340.61 | 344.97 | 468.33 | 430.58 | 343.09 |

As it can be seen from the data given, the least discrepancy between experimental and calculated data is for PM6 and PM7 methods. The ab initio methods HF 3-21G and DFT B3LYP/3-21G shows the biggest deviations of the calculated values from experimental data and, thus, cannot be used for modeling of the reaction for DPhA formation. Considering the above and the recommendations of MOPAC2012 developers¹², we used a semi empirical PM7 method for further calculations.

3. Results and discussion

The location of aniline protonation in the gas phase is a contentious issue during last 30 years. At the same time, the above question was repeatedly investigated by both calculated and experimental methods. Theoretical methods of G2MP2 and B3LYP calculation show that the proton affinity to the carbon atom in p-position of the aromatic ring and the nitrogen atom of aniline are comparable ¹⁶.

In the presence of acid catalysts the formation of the protonated form of aniline occurs. The calculation results of different aniline protonated forms by PM7 method are shown in Fig. 1.

| | -0.1860NH3 -0.0460 -0.1496 -0.1496 -0.0844 | $\begin{bmatrix} -0.4182 \text{ NH}_2 \\ +0.3954 \\ -0.3357 \\ +0.0827 \\ H \end{bmatrix}^+$ | -0.4333NH2 +0.3908 H -0.3908 H -0.3723 H -0.0032 -0.2400 H | $\begin{bmatrix} -0.5859 \text{ NH}_2 \\ +0.1590 \\ -0.1034 \\ +0.0880 \\ +0.3447 \\ H \end{bmatrix}^+$ | $\begin{bmatrix} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ $ |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | NHAn ⁺ | <i>p</i> -CHAn ⁺ | o-CHAn ⁺ | <i>m</i> -CHAn ⁺ | <i>ipso</i> -CHAn ⁺ |
| <i>S</i> ° ₂₉₈ , J/(mol*K) | 333.21 | 317.90 | 323.34 | 333.34 | 315.51 |
| $\Delta H_f \circ_{298}$, kJ/mol | 746.03 | 755.35 | 766.63 | 868.70 | 944.26 |
| $\Delta G_f \circ_{298}^{\circ}$, kJ/mol | 841.10 | 854.99 | 864.65 | 963.73 | 1044.61 |

Fig. 1. The calculated values of atom charges and enthalpy of formation different protonated forms of aniline

As it can be seen from the data given, the stability of the protonated forms of aniline is presented in the following order: $NHAn^+ >\approx p$ -CHAn⁺ >> m-CHAn⁺ >> m-CHAn⁺ >> ipso-CHAn.

These results are in good agreement with the ones of the research ¹⁶ and confirm the conclusions of the research ². First of all, attack of nucleophile (aniline) on its protonated form will occur at the concentration area of the greatest positive charge, i.e. C1 atom. The attack on the carbon atoms C2, C3 and C4 is less probable.

Therefore, only p-CHAn⁺ and o-CHAn⁺ are considered to be an object of nucleophilic attack of aniline on the carbon atom ring.

In accordance with the purpose the modeling of the following reactions was carried out:

$$p - CHAn^{+} + C_6H_5NH_2 \longrightarrow DPhA + NH_4^{+}$$
(a)

$$o - CHAn^+ + C_6H_5NH_2 \longrightarrow DPhA + NH_4^+$$
 (b)

$$p - CHAn^+ + C_6H_5NH_2 \xrightarrow{+[BF_4]} DPhA + NH_4^+$$
 (c)

$$p-CHAn^+ + C_6H_5NH_2 \xrightarrow{+[HOBF_3]} DPhA + NH_4^+$$
 (d)

$$p - CHAn^+ + C_6H_5NH_2 \xrightarrow{+ \lfloor O(BF_3)_4 \rfloor} DPhA + NH_4^+$$
 (e)

Energy diagram of the profile of the aniline reaction way with p-CHAn⁺ and o-CHAn⁺ is represented in Fig. 2 according to the reaction scheme.



Fig. 2. Computed reaction profile for the reaction of C₆H₅NH₂ and *p*-CHAn⁺ (a, black line) and *p*-CHAn⁺ (b, red line).

The product formation of the **IP1** adjoining occurs through the intermediate **IDK2**complex, which is formed by ion-dipole interaction of p-CHAn⁺ (o-CHAn⁺) with the nucleophilic center of aniline. The energy barrier of ion-dipole **IDK** complex transition in **IP1** is 27.85 kJ/mol (reaction a) and 45.51 kJ/mol (reaction b).

In **IP1a** compared with *p***-CHAn⁺** the bond orders of C^1-N^7 (1.0651) C^1-C^2 (1.0112) and C^3-C^4 (1.0253) decrease on -0.4363, -0.1043 and -0.0211 points, respectively. Also, bond orders of C^2-C^3 (1.9087) and C^5-C^6 (1.8959) increase on the 0.1152 and the 0.1278 points, respectively. The order of C^1-N^{21} bond formation is 0.6896. This indicates that **IP1a** has a diene ring structure, C1 and C4 atoms have sp^3 -hybridization.

A similar situation is observed on **IP1b** in comparison with *o*-**CHAn**⁺. The bond orders of C^1-N^7 (1.0770), C^1-C^2 (0.9903), C^2-C^3 (1.0163), C^4-C^5 (1.0819), C^1-C^6 (1.0129) decrease on -0.4199, -0.0400, -0.0093, 0.0602 and -0.1738 points, respectively. The bond orders of C^3-C^4 (1,8829) and C^5-C^6 (1,8429) increase on 0.0684 and 0.1999 points, respectively. The order of **IP1b** bond formation of C^1-N^{21} is 0.6862. The diene ring structure is implemented in **IP1b**, C1 and C2 atoms have sp^3 -hybridization.

Further transformation of the unstable addition **IP1** product can occur in two ways. In the first case, the proton transfer is carried out by one hydrogen atom abstraction in the sp^3 -hybridized C atom and addition it to the primary amino group. The calculations of the reaction route showed that deprotonation leads to destabilization of the addition product, and it decomposed up to initial reagents.

In the second case, stabilization is possible by proton transfer from the secondary to the primary amino group with basicity of a larger degree (stage 3, $IP1 \rightarrow IP2$). Two possible reaction routes were considered during proton transfer in the addition product. In the first way, deprotonation is carried out by the internal vibrational energy of N–H bond and occurs intramolecularly (activation energy - 85.93 kJ/mol for $IP1a \rightarrow IP2a$; 89.42 kJ/mol for $IP1b \rightarrow IP2b$). In the second way, proton transfer occurs under the action of the ammonia molecule that attacks the reaction center (activation energy - 149.8 kJ/mol for $IP1a \rightarrow IP2a$). These results suggest that the intramolecular proton transfer is more preferable to the intermolecular proton transfer involving ammonia (or solvent). It should be noted that stage 3 has the highest activation energy and is a rate-determined stage of the condensation process.

The positive charge in IP2 is focused on the primary amino group. The $C^{1}-N^{7}$ bond order (0.6871 for IP2a, 0.7036 for IP2b) is small, which makes easier break the ammonia molecule from this intermediate compound (stage 4). The energy barrier of formation reaction of the σ -complexes of *p*-CHDPhA⁺ and *o*-CHDPhA⁺ is - 4.56 and - 2.94 kJ/mol, respectively.

The deprotonation of the CHDPhA⁺ σ -complex (stage 5) takes place by action of the ammonia molecule departing. The energy barrier transition from CHDPhA⁺ into final products of DPhA and NH₄⁺ is - 13.21 kJ/mol for *p*-CHDPhA⁺ and is 9.78 kJ/mol for *o*-CHDPhA⁺.

The results of the calculations show that the stage of the intramolecular proton transfer from the secondary to the primary amino groups in the intermediate of aniline and aniline σ -complex interreaction with a proton is a rate-determining step of the process.

Modelling of reactions (a), (b), (c) shows that the nature of the catalyst significantly affect the interaction energy of the reactants at all stages of the condensation process. When using different catalysts (H[BF₄], H[HO(BF₃)], H₂[O(BF₃)₄]) the activation energy of the rate determining stage 3 is 60.38, 60.21 and 91.24 kJ/mol, respectively. The results are in good agreement with the experimental data ($E_{act} = 69.5$ kJ/mol) of the liquid-phase process of **DPhA** obtaining in the presence of aniline salt of oxytrifluoroborate acid ¹⁷.

The calculations indicate that the anion of the catalyst can form ionic and ion-dipole complexes with the components of the reaction mixture. Also, the anion of the catalyst affects the electron density distribution in the reactants (their reactivity). The activity of the catalyst is determined by its charge and geometric sizes. The geometrical structures of transition states of **TS3a**, **TS3b**, **TS3c**, **TS3d**, **TS3e** are shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. The structures of the transition states of TS3a, TS3b, TS3c, TS3d, TS3e.

Definitely, geometry of the TS3c and TS3d transition states indicates a strong ionic interaction of $[BF_4]^-$ and $[HO(BF_3)]^-$ anions with the aromatic ring of the positively charged σ -complex and the absence of such interaction for $[O(BF_3)_4]^{2-}$ anion.

4. Summary

The stage of intramolecular proton transfer from the primary to the secondary amino groups of the intermediates of aniline reaction with proton aniline σ -complex determines the rate of condensation of aniline to diphenylamine with acid catalysts.

A catalyst anion activates initial reagents, intermediates and stabilizes the final products. This mechanism is the following: the catalyst anion can form ionic and ion-dipole complexes with the reaction mixture components and can influence the distribution of electron density in reactants and their reactivity. Thus, the results of this research can be used for selection of liquid or gas phase catalysts of the process.

Acknowledgements

We thank James J. P. Stewart for kindly providing the program MOPAC2012.

References

1. Romanenko N.P., Bochkarev V.V., Soroka L.S. Thermodynamic analysis of process of aniline condensation with production of diphenylamine. *Khimicheskaya Promyshlennost*'. 2002; 11, 12-16.

2. Bochkarev V. V., Soroka L. S. Investigating the role of catalyst in the condensation reaction of aniline to diphenylamine. *Bulleting of Tomsk Polytechnic University*. 2009; **314**: 3, 93-97.

3. Seeboth H. Der Mechanismus der katalytischen Umsetzung von Aminen, Alkoholen und Ethern am Aluminiumoxyd-kontact in der Gasphas. *Monatsber. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin.* 1961; **3**: 11-12, 661-667.

4. Basu J. K., Kar D. D., Biswas A. K. Catalytic conversion of aniline to diphenylamine. Indian J. Chem. Technol. 1994; 1: 1, 7-12.

5. Vdovin N.M., Safin R.S., Vdovin S.N. Pat. Appl. No. 749824, Russia (23 July 1980)

6. Martynov N.V., Nagirhyak A.T., Albrext S.N. RU Patent No. 2 158 178 (27 October 2000).

7. Fischler, et al. US Patent No. 4,100,195 (11 July 1978).

8. Uhlar J., Kralik M. et al. WO Patent No. 011665 A1 (22 January 2009).

9. Synthesis of diphenylamine catalyzed by zeolites. Applied Catalysis A: General. 2003; 255: 2, 197-202.

10. Nurmakanova A., Salischeva A., Chudinova A., Ivashkina E., Syskina A. Comparison between Alkylation and Transalkylation Reactions using ab Initio Approach. *Proceedia Chemistry*. 2014; 10, 430-436.

11. ChemBio3D Ultra. http://www.cambridgesoft.com/software/overview.aspx.

12. MOPAC2012, James J. P. Stewart, Stewart Computational Chemistry, Colorado Springs, CO, USA, Version 14.365W. http://OpenMOPAC.net.

13. Stall D., Vestram EH., Zinge G. Chemical thermodynamics of organic compounds. M.: Mir, 1971. 901 p.

14. Harrison A.G., Tu Ya-Ping. Site of protonation of N-alkylanilines. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2000; 195-196: 33-43.

15. Karpas Z., Berant Z, Stimac R.M. An ion mobility spectrometry/mass spectrometry (IMS/MS) study of the site of protonation in anilines. *Struct. Chem.* 1990; **1**: 2-3, 201-204.

16. Russo N., Toscano M. Grand A. Mineva T. Proton Affinity and Protonation Sites of Aniline. Behavior and Density Functional Reactivity Indices. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2000; 1: 104 (17), 4017-4021.

17. Nagirhyak A.T., Martynov N.V. Liquid-phase condensation of aniline to diphenylamine // Materials of III Russian Scientific Conference «Chemistry and chemical technology at the turn of the millennium», Tomsk: TPU, 2004; 78-79.