#### Conclusion

To sum up, it is necessary to believe that all of us must do our best to be as green and as environmentally friendly as possible. The whole future of the planet is in our hands.

### **REFERENCES**:

1. Bobrov, E.A. Social and ecological problems of big cities and the ways of their solution // Nauchnie vedomosti, Seriya estestvennie nauki. 2011 № 5 (110), seriya16, pp. 199-208.

Scientific Advisor: Lubov A. Sobinova, Ph.D., art. teacher, department of Foreign Languages, Institute of Power Engineering, TPU.

### CASE STUDY OF THE "TITANIUM VALLEY" POWER SUPPLY

<sup>1</sup>D.A. Ivanov, <sup>2</sup>E.Ya. Sokolova <sup>1,2</sup>Tomsk Polytechnic University Institute of Power Engineering, Department of Electric Power Systems, <sup>1</sup>gr. 5A53. <sup>2</sup>Foreign Languages Department

Power supply problems are very urgent and different official events are devoted to the discussion of this problem. One of the most popular forms of public discussion is forum. Forum is place used for debates in which anyone can participate during open discussions on various urgent issues. With the development of communication technologies new forms of forums are held through Skype, but some discussions can build up without all users having to be online at the same time.

One of the technique used during the forum held from 22<sup>th</sup> to 29<sup>th</sup> of August, 2016 in Sverdlovsk region was a case study. The term "case study" is used loosely in various sources of scientific and professional literature. The key features of a "case study" are its scientific contribution and its evidence base for professional applications [2]. In spite of a lot of works devoted to the concept "case study" little attention is paid to the usefulness of this method. Case study is defined as analyses of people, events, decisions, periods, projects, policies, institutions, or other systems and is the subject of the inquiry of a class of phenomena that provides an analytical frame — an object — within which the study is conducted and which the case illuminates and explicates.

All participants of the forum called "Energy of Youth" were divided into 3 teams solving the same problem at different stages.

The first stage was focused on the analysis of Sverdlovsk region energy efficiency. Energy efficiency is the ratio between power generation and power consumption. To carry out the analysis on energy efficiency Sverdlovsk region was chosen. The choice of the above mentioned region can be explained by the ambitious plans to build a special economic zone called the "Titanium valley".

"Titanium valley" is the construction of a special economic zone (SEZ) of industrial / production type in Sverdlovsk region where special preferential conditions for the development of industrial production and national or international entrepreneurs have been created. Titanium valley is a limited territory with special legal status in relation to the rest of other territories. The priority directions of this specialized SEZ are titanium products production, manufacturing of components and equipment for metallurgy, mechanical engineering, production of construction materials. It is to be emphasized that the power load will have been increased on 180 MW by 2020. This abrupt power demand is due to the implementation of the Titanium valley. Consequently there will be the increase in both commercial and residential sectors. The table below illustrates the forecast of power load by 2017, 2019 and 2023.

Tab. 1. The forecast of power load increase in Sverdlovsk power system by 2017,

N²	Power Plants	2017	2019	2023
1.	Serovsk Power Plant	+0,65%	+1,85%	+4,23%
2.	Nizhnetagil'sk Power Plant	+0,62% (+0,63%)	*1,83% (*1,85%)	+4,27% (+4,28%)
3.	Zapadnye Power Plant	+0,58%	+1,77%	+4,17%
4.	<u>Vostochnye</u> Power Plant	+0,61%	+1,79%	+4,19%
5.	Talick Power Plant	+0,57%	+1,75%	+4,15%
6.	Artjomovsk Power Plant	+0,58%	+1,79%	+4,18%

2019 and 2023 (%)

The results obtained upon the analysis and calculations are as follows: Sverdlovsk region is a region which generates power in excess and is able to cover both commercial and residential sectors. The maximum generation of this region is 9416.9 MW, but the maximum consumption in 2015 was only 6328MW

The second stage involved the development of special techniques of power supply of this "Titanium valley". The first step was to find out the power needs necessary to decide the necessity to build a special power plant. 3 types of power plants were considered: nuclear power plant, hydropower plant and thermal power plant. Since the nuclear power plant is intended for generation of power in accordance with its specified capacity some additional facilities must be built to get extra power required. This fact confirms the inefficiency of this project. Hydropower plants need some specific conditions since most hydroelectric power comes from the potential energy of dammed water driving a water turbine and generator. The most advantageous type is thermal power plant, but warmth produced by this plant is not required.

Upon thorough analysis it was decided to give up the idea of power plant construction. Instead it was proposed to find efficient ways for power transmission. To transmit power over long distances some special facilities are required either underground cables or overhead lines. Overhead lines were chosen due to some advantages over underground cables. Type of overhead lines was determined by nominal voltage which is 220 KV. However, it was confirmed that to transmit power adequately 2 overhead lines each intended for 220 KV are required. In the case of any faults with one line other line will be able to transmit the desired power. The comparison of overhead lines and underground cables is presented in Table 2.

Tab. 2. Comparison of overhead lines and underground cables [1]

	overnead lines and underground cables [1
Overhead Lines	Underground Cables
Advantages	Disadvantages
1.The size of conductor for same	1. The size of conductor is quite large
amount of power is small	in underground system.
2. The amount of insulation is less as	2. Very high degree of insulation is
overhead lines are open to atmosphere	required as the underground system is
and hence air provides the necessary	laid under the ground hence area is
insulation.	very compact.
3. Heat can be dissipated easily in the	3. Heat dissipation is very difficult
surroundings as overhead lines are	and. Hence number of insulating lay-
open to atmosphere.	ers are added to the cable.
4.Overhead system is very cheap as	4. Very costly, because a number of
no insulation coating is used over the	insulation layers has to be used to
conductors i.e., the conductors used	provide sufficient insulation.
are bare conductors	
5.Faults can be detected easily	5. Fault detection is very complicated.
6. Maintenance work is very simple.	6. Maintenance work is very complex.
7. It is used for long distance trans-	7. It is used for short distance trans-
mission.	mission or distribution.
Disadvantages	Advantages
8.Public safety is lower.	8.Public safety is higher.
9. Faces problems due to interference	9. No interference with the communi-
with neighboring communication sys-	cation lines.
tem.	
10. They are liable to hazards from	10. Not liable to the hazards from
lightning discharges.	lightning discharges.
11. This system can't be used near	11. It can be used near submarine
submarine crossings.	crossings.

Thus, this comparison illustrates the advantages of overhead lines over underground cables.

The third state involved the calculation of the above chosen technique Calculations:

	N	Reg	ion	Gc	Lo	ad	Dp	(	Cons	Inner	
1	1	Serovsk Powe	er Plant	168	813	3	27,24	84	0	-672	
2	2	Nizhnetagil's	k PP	239	558	1	16,25	57	4	-335	
3	3	Zapadnye Po	wer Plant	328	1 2	95	35,10	13	330	-1 002	
4	4	Vostochnye F	Power Plant		913	3	31,17	94	4	-944	
5	5	Talick Power	Plant	6	111	0	8,07	11	9	-113	
6	6	Artjomovsk F	Power Plant		140	140		13,37 153		-153	
7	7	FSC_220_500	)	4 382	287		57,56	34	5	4 0 3 8	
8	8	Nizhnetagil r	egion	145	926	5	36,13	96	2	-817	1
	Ni		Name	-	l in	l f	in I	add	I add	I add	1/1_0
1	411	1 and	jatiletka		255	255			-	390,0	65,5
1		1 and	jatiletka	Gc	255		Dp		Cons	390,0	
	411	▼ 254 p	ijatiletka Ion	+	255	255 Load		,			
1	411 N	• 254 p Reg	ijatiletka ion er Plant	Gc	255	255 Load 17	Dp	, 8	Cons	Inner	
1	411 N 1	254 p Reg Serovsk Powe	ijatiletka ion er Plant sk PP	Gc 168	255   	255 Load 17	Dp 27,49	8	Cons	Inner -676	
1 2 3	411 N 1 2	<ul> <li>254 p</li> <li>Reg</li> <li>Serovsk Power</li> <li>Nizhnetagil's</li> </ul>	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant	Gc 168 239	255   	255 Load 17 51 302	Dp 27,49 16,32	9 8 5 1	Cons 844 577	Inner -676 -338	
1 2 3 4	411 N 1 2 3	254 p Reg Serovsk Pow Nizhnetagil's Zapadnye Po	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant	Gc 168 239	255 l 81 56	255 Load 17 51 302 17	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11	9 8 5 1 9	Cons 344 577 1 337	Inner -676 -338 -1009	
1 2 3 4 5 6	411 N 1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>254 p</li> <li>Reg</li> <li>Serovsk Power</li> <li>Nizhnetagil's</li> <li>Zapadnye Po</li> <li>Vostochnye I</li> </ul>	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant Plant	Gc 168 239 328	255 L 81 56 1 91	255 Load 17 51 302 17 12	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11 31,45	9 8 11 9 1	Cons 844 577 1 337 948	Inner -676 -338 -1009 -948	
1 2 3 4 5	411 N 1 2 3 4 5	254 p Reg Serovsk Power Nizhnetagil's Zapadnye Po Vostochnye I Talick Power	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant Plant Power Plant	Gc 168 239 328	255 1 81 56 1 91 11 11	255 Load 17 51 302 17 12 41	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11 31,45 8,18	, 8 5 1 9 1 1	Cons 344 577 1 337 948 120	Inner -676 -338 -1 009 -948 -114	
1 2 3 4 5 6	411 N 1 2 3 4 5 6	254     Reg     Serovsk Powe     Nizhnetagil's     Zapadnye Po     Vostochnye I     Talick Power     Artjomovsk I	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant Plant Power Plant 0	Gc 168 239 328 6	255 1 81 56 1 91 11 11	255 Load 17 51 302 17 12 41 37	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11 31,45 8,18 13,43	9 11 11 11 13	Cons 844 577 1 337 948 220 154	Inner -676 -338 -1009 -948 -114 -154	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	411 N 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	254 p Reg Serovsk Power Nizhnetagil's Zapadnye Po Vostochnye I Talick Power Artjomovsk I FSC_220_500	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant Plant Power Plant 0	Gc 168 239 328 6 4 406	255 1 81 56 1 91 11 14 28	255 Load 17 51 302 17 12 41 37	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11 31,45 8,18 13,43 58,46	9 11 11 11 13	Cons 344 577 1 337 948 120 154 945	Inner -676 -338 -1 009 -948 -114 -154 4 060	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	411 N 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	254 p Reg Serovsk Power Nizhnetagil's Zapadnye Po Vostochnye I Talick Power Artjomovsk I FSC_220_500	ojatiletka ion er Plant sk PP ower Plant Power Plant Plant Power Plant 0	Gc 168 239 328 6 4 406	255 1 81 56 1 91 11 14 28 93	255 Load 17 51 302 17 12 41 37	Dp 27,49 16,32 35,11 31,45 8,18 13,43 58,46 36,40	9 11 11 11 13	Cons 344 577 1 337 948 120 154 945 966	Inner -676 -338 -1 009 -948 -114 -154 4 060	

Fig 1. Current load of overhead lines

# Conclusion

To sum up, upon the conducted analysis within the case study, it was concluded that 2 overhead lines are necessary to supply the "Titanium valley" with continuous uninterrupted power and are able to overcome problems associated with some faults and abnormal conditions.

# **REFERENCES**:

- 1. URL: http://www.electricaledition.com/2016/01/comparison-of-overhead-lines-underground-cables.html (Access 12.09.2016)
- 2. URL: http://scholarworl

http://scholarworks.umass.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1001&context=n ursing\_faculty\_pubs (Access 12.09.2016)

Scientific supervisor: E.Ya. Sokolova, senior instructor, Foreign Languages Department, Institute of Power Engineering, TPU