Influence of metallic additives on manganese ferrites sintering

S A Shevelev¹, P A Luchnikov², A R Yarullina³

¹Senior Lecturer, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia ²Leading engineer of the department of metrology and development, Moscow Technological University (MIREA), Moscow, Russia ³Researcher, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia E-mail: wevelev@tpu.ru

Abstract. Influence of cuprum nanopowder additive received by electric explosion on the process of manganese ferrites MgFe2O4 consolidating at thermal sintering was researched by dilatometry method. Cuprum nanopowder at a rate of 5 mass % was added into the original commercial-grade powder of manganese ferrite MgFe2O4. Powder mixture was numerously blended with screening for better blending before pressing. Powder compacts were formed by cold one-axle static pressing. It was proved that introduction of cuprum additive caused shrinkage increase at final heating stage. There was abnormal compact enlarging at sintering in the air at isothermal stage; the specified process was not observed in vacuum. This difference can be explained by changes in conditions of gaseous discharge from volume of pores.

1. Introduction

Ceramic materials are widely used for both functional and instrumental purpose. Ceramic materials on the base of zirconium dioxide [1-4] and lithium ferrite [5, 6] stand apart. The main technological stage [7] of ceramic production is powder compact burning, its sintering [8, 9], and also modification [10, 11]. As for ferrites, process of their sintering was mostly determined by interaction of sintered material with surrounding gaseous atmosphere [12, 13]. Moreover, diffusion processes have a great importance and secondary ion mass spectroscopy method is effectively used to study it [14, 15]. Dilatometry method [16–19] and thermal gravimetric analysis [5], grapho-analytical method [20], are widely used to study ceramic materials sintering. Both conventional methods of thermal processing in resistance furnaces and nonconventional methods based on radioactive action of accelerated beam [6, 18], laser and other types of light stimulus can be used for sintering and processing of ceramic materials [21-23]. Method of sintering in resistance furnace is most suitable for manufacturing of ferrites. Furthermore, considerable attention is paid to sintering temperature decrease to reduce final products cost. In this respect blending method proved to be effective [24–27]. Polymers [27], oxides [26] and metals [25] were used as additives. For instance, work [23] presents information about introduction of zinc and niobium into barium hexaferrit formulation which has strong impact on morphology and size of grains.

Providing conditions of uniform diffusion of additive on volume basis of original powder is important at introduction of additives in small amounts in relation to original powder. It means that amount of particles of additive should be compared with amount of particles of original powder ranged from 20-50 micrometers in size. Nanopowders of metals meet the conditions, as they have very high specific surface area and at the same time they have small weight. As a result of it even if they have small weight percent they uniformly diffuse on volume basis of powder.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

The present work presents the results of research of influence of additive of nanodisperse powder of cuprum (Cu), made by electric explosion method on the process of consolidating at manganese ferrites sintering $MgFe_2O_4$ made by dilatometry method.

2. Experimental part

Manganese ferrite samples MgFe₂O₄, made from original commercial-grade powders according to ceramic processing technology were studied. Nanodisperse powder of cuprum was received by electric explosion method. Average size of particles ranges from 20...60 nm. Specific surface area of nanopowder of cuprum, determined by BET method, was not less than 15 m²/g.

Influence of cuprum additive on the processes of compacting and sintering of ferrite $MgFe_2O_4$ was carried out. Introduction of additive in number of 5 mass % in original powder was made by multiple blending with screening to provide uniform diffusion of additive on volume basis of original powder.

Samples for sintering were compacted by cold one-axle static pressing in press-form at laboratory hydraulic press PGR-10 ($\Pi\Gamma$ P-10) under a pressure of pressing 155 MPa. Compacts had cylindrical form of 9 mm in diameter and about 3 mm thick.

Research of kinetics of compression of test samples was conducted at highly-sensitive dilatometer DIL402C, company NETZSCH (Germany). Heating and cooling rate was 10 degrees/min.

Research of kinetics of compression by dilatometry method was conducted for compacts, received from original powder without additives at sintering in the air (sample of type A), samples with adding Cu at sintering in the air (sample of type B) and in vacuum (sample of type C).

3. Results

Figure 1 shows kinetic connection of linear shrinkage of all test sample types.



Figure 1. Kinetic connection of linear shrinkage $\Delta L/L_0$: 1, 2, 3 – samples of types A, B and C, correspondingly; 4 – temperature conditions of burning.

According to analysis of connections in Figure 1 it follows that introduction of Cu causes shrinkage increase at heating stage in comparison with samples of type A, as at sintering in the air (curve 2), and in vacuum (curve 3). Determined by first derivative curves (Figure 1), shrinkage of samples of types A, B and C, temperatures corresponding to maximum shrinkage rate are equal to $T_m = 1126.1$; 1330.5; 1145.3^oC, correspondingly.

Change of T_m can be explained in the following way. There is oxidizing of Cu with oxide of CuO formation at heating of samples of type B, which causes its enlargement in relation to samples of type A. Liquid phase formation occurs at melting temperature CuO equal to 1242° C, resulting in T_m =1330.5°C. At heating stage of samples of type C there is melting of Cu without oxide formation. As melting temperature of Cu is lower than CuO and is equal to 1085° C, T_m of samples of type C is

also lower and is equal to T_m =1145.3°C. Presence of liquid phase in samples of types B and C leads to friction decrease between particles at consolidating.

In accordance with work [17] there are two simultaneous processes at thermal sintering liable for change of linear sizes of a sample. The first process was specified by interparticle interaction, the second process was conditioned by changes of volume of gaseous pores. Presence of liquid phase in samples of types B and C at their sintering prevents from gaseous discharge from volume of pores, which causes their enlargement at the stage of isothermal time. As it can be seen from Figure 1, the process of enlargement mostly occurs at sintering in the air (samples of type B), which causes abrupt enlargement at isothermal time. Gaseous discharge from pores is more effective at sintering in vacuum (samples of type C) because of larger gradient of pressure which causes shrinkage enlargement in comparison with samples of type B.

4. Summary

It was established that consolidating of ferrite compacts $MgFe_2O_4$ with 5 mass% additive of nanopowder Cu, received by electric explosion method, strongly depends on ambient air environment pressure. Gaseous discharge from volume of pore space of a compact is difficult at atmospheric pressure because of blockout of pores of liquid phase of additive. At sintering in vacuum decrease of pores volume and their quantity is more effective, which finally leads to considerable increase of compacts shrinkage. Introduction of low-melting metallic nanopowders should be preferably made at sintering of manganese ferrites in vacuum to obtain nonporous ceramics.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by The Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in part of the science activity program.

References

- [1] Stawarczyk B, Keul C, Eichberger M, Figge D, Edelhoff D, Lümkemann N 2017 J Quintessence International **48** 369–380 doi: 10.3290/j.qi.a38057
- [2] Taiylor S, Singh M, Doub A V 2016 *Journal of Cluster Science* 27 1097–1107
- [3] Freris I, Riello P, Enrichi F, Cristofori D, Benedetti A 2011 *Optical materials* **33** 1745–1752 doi: 10.1016/j.optmat.2011.06.010
- [4] He J, Chen J, Ren L, Wang Y, Teng C, Hong M, Zhao J, Jiang B 2014 ACS applied materials & *interfaces* **6** 2718–2725 doi: 10.1021/am405202d
- [5] Surzhikov A P, Frangulyan T S, Ghyngazov S A, Lysenko E N 2012 Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry **108** 1207–1212 doi: 10.1007/s10973-011-1734-z
- [6] Surzhikov A P, Lysenko E N, Vlasov V A, Malyshev A V, Nikolaev E V 2013 Russian Physics Journal 56 681–685 doi: 10.1007/s11182-013-0085-6
- [7] Vasendina E, Plotnikova I, Levitskaya A, Kvesko S 2016 *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* **110** doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/110/1/012070
- [8] Macaigne R, Marinel S, Goeuriot D, Meunier C, Saunier S, Riquet G 2016 *Ceramics International* **42** 16997–17003 doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.07.206
- [9] Marinca T F, Chicinaş H F, Neamţu B V, Popa F, Chicinaş I 2017 Ceramics International 43 14281–14291 doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2017.07.180
- [10] Ghyngazov S A, Frangulyan T S, Chernyavskii A V, Goreev A K, Naiden E P 2015 Russian Physics Journal 58 188–191 doi: 10.1007/s11182-015-0480-2
- [11] Poltavtseva V P, Kislitsin S B. Ghyngazov S A 2016 Russian Physics Journal 59 159–165 doi: 10.1007/s11182-016-0754-3
- [12] Surzhikov A P, Peshev V V, Pritulov A M, Gyngazov S A 1999 Russian Physics Journal 42 490–495 doi: 10.1007/BF02508222
- [13] Gyngazov S A, Lisenko E N, Petyukevich M S, Frangulyan T S 2007 Russian Physics Journal 50 134–139 doi: 10.1007/s11182-007-0018-3

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 289 (2017) 012016 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/289/1/012016

- [14] Surzhikov A P, Chernyavskii A V, Ghyngazov S A, Frangulyan T S 2002 Russian Physics Journal 45 1190–1194
- [15] Gyngazov S A, Surzhikov A P, Frangul'yan T S, Chernyavskii A V 2002 Russian Physics Journal 45 753–758
- [16] Surzhikov A P, Frangulyan T S, Ghyngazov S A 2012 Russian Physics Journal 55 345–352
- [17] Surzhikov A P, Frangulyan T S, Ghyngazov S A 2014 Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 115 1439–1445
- [18] Surzhikov A P, Frangulyan T S, Ghyngazov S A, Koval N N 2009 Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research 267 1072–1076 doi: 10.1016/j.nimb.2009.01.144
- [19] Melnikov A A, Sigov A S, Vorotilov K A, Davydov A A, Topalova L I, Zhavoronkov N V 1999 Journal of Crystal Growth 197666–669
- [20] Gomboeva S G, Shishkin I F, Hamhanova D N, Vasendina E A, Plotnikova I V, Efremova O N 2016 *Key Engineering Materials* **685** 463–466 doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.685.463
- [21] Ghyngazov S A, Vasil'ev I P, Surzhikov A P, Frangulyan T S, Chernyavskii A V 2015 Technical Physics 60 128–132 doi: 10.1134/S1063784215010120
- [22] Vorotilov K, Sigov A, Seregin D, Podgorny Y, Zhigalina O, Khmelenin D 2013 Phase Transitions 86 1152–1165 doi: 10.1080/01411594.2013.794276
- [23] Aleutdinov A D, Ghyngazov S A, Mylnikova T S, Luchnikov PA 2015 *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* **81** 012069 doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/81/1/012069
- [24] Kanagesan S, Hashim M, Jesurani S, Kalaivani T, Ismail I 2014 Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism 27 811–815 doi: 10.1007/s10948-013-2357-3
- [25] Flegler A G, Burye T E, Yang Q, Nicholas J D 2014 Ceramics International 40 16323–16335 doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2014.07.071
- [26] Restivo T A G, Durazzo M, de Mello-Castanho S R H, Moreira A C, Graciano S, Telles V B, Tenorio J A S 2017 Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry doi: 10.1007/s10973-017-6560-5
- [27] Li J, Zhang X-H, Cui B-C, Lin Y-H, Deng X-L, Li M, Nan C-W 2017 Journal of Dentistry 58 60–66 doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2017.01.008